A Regular Meeting of the Board of Regents of northern New Mexico College was held on Wednesday, May 27, 2020, Via Zoom. Regents Present: Michael A. Martin (Via Conference Call), Erica Velarde (Via Zoom), Porter Swentzell (Via Zoom), Damian Martinez (Via Zoom), Tomas Rodriguez (Via Zoom).

Northern New Mexico College Staff Present: President Richard J. Bailey, Jr. (Via Zoom), Ph.D.; Ricky Bejarano, Vice President for Finance & Administration (Via Zoom); Ivan Lopez Hurtado, PhD, Provost & Vice President for Academic Affairs (Via Zoom); Vince Lithgow, Comptroller, John Ussery, El Rito Campus Director (Via Zoom); Sally Martinez, Executive Assistant to the Provost & Vice President for Academic Affairs; Don Appiarius, Assistant Provost; Ryan Cordova, Athletic Director and Men's Basketball Coach; Evette Abeyta, Budget Director; Gwen Orona, Academic Advisor; Kenneth Lucero, Interim Human Resources Director; Carmella Sanchez, Director Institutional Research; Sandy Krolick, Creative Director, Communications and Marketing; Mario Caetano, Head Women's Basketball Coach; and Amy Peña, Executive Office Director.

Faculty Present: Ajit Hira, PhD; Patricia Trujillo, PhD

Others Present: Adam Cavotta; and Geno Zamora, Ortiz & Zamora

I. CALL TO ORDER

Board President Martin called the meeting to order at 1:32PM

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Board President Martin stated he had to leave the meeting at 2:45PM and asked the Board's consideration to move Item X, Executive Session to right up after approval of the Agenda. If there are any other changes, the Board should let Board President Martin know before approving the agenda. Regent Velarde stated no other changes. Board President Martin entertained a motion to approve the Agenda as amended.

Regent Velarde moved to approve the agenda as amended by President Martin. Second-Regent Swentzell. A roll call vote was taken. Board President Martin – yes, Regent Velarde – yes, Regent Swentzell – yes, Regent Martinez, Regent Rodriguez – yes. Motion passed unanimously.
III. COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD

A. Board of Regents Subcommittee Reports

1. Audit/Finance/Facilities Committee – Informational

Vice President Velarde asked Regent Martinez to report on the Audit/Finance/Facilities Committee. Regent Martinez stated there was an Audit/Finance/Facilities Committee yesterday. It was a good meeting. They will be discussing many of the things they went over in the Audit/Finance/Facilities Committee meeting during the staff reports. There is some good news on some of that stuff as it relates to BARS. Two straight days without BARs.

2. Academic/Student Affairs Committee – Informational

Regent Swentzell stated the committee met yesterday as well and the items will be discussed in the Staff Reports and also there are action items on the Agenda today.

3. Executive Committee – Informational

None.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Regent Velarde asked if there were changes to the minutes and entertained a motion to approve.

Regent Swentzell moved to approve the minutes as presented. Regent Martinez – Second. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde – yes, Regent Swentzell – yes, Regent Martinez – yes and for clarification this is for the minutes for April 27, 2020 and May 13, 2020, Regent Rodriguez – yes. Motion passed unanimously.

V. PRESIDENT’S REPORT AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Celebrate Northern – Informational

President Bailey stated he would like to give a special thank you to everyone who has been helping serve their community during this time. Everyone from our Student mentors, ambassadors, food pantry distribution, student services, everyone has bent over backwards in service to the community. President Bailey also gave a special thank you to everyone who had a hand in the Research Symposium. It was a huge success last week. There were some innovative, creative wonderful designs and the presentations were outstanding. Kudos to everyone who had a hand in this especially students and faculty mentors.
B. CUP/NMICC Report

CUP is preparing for a special legislative session scheduled for June 18th. We are expecting FY21 Budget cuts and the College will come to the Board of Regents with recommendations from the state and we will be nimble enough to do what we need to do to respond to that.

C. NNMC Foundation – Informational

The Eagle Fund is alive and well and helping fund the student food pantry and we are also ordering three ply Northern Eagle logo masks to distribute for free to students, also for faculty and staff as well and to recognize our recent graduates. Even though we are committed to an in-person face to face commencement for the class of 2020 we do want to recognize those graduates and President Bailey wants to give kudos to those who are working on ways to celebration for graduates. He would like to give kudos to those helping celebrate the graduates.

President Bailey stated we are probably the most conservative institution in the State on this but we have made the decision to plan for all of fall curriculum to be online. This was not a decision that we made in vacuum, we all came together and looked at what was best for our students and our community. One of the tell-tale signs for those who saw President Bailey’s recent update video, he apologizes for repeating this but NMSU just did a test of 600 people, students, faculty and staff members in Las Cruces and of the 600 people they tested 15 came back positive and those 15 people were symptomatic. They had no fever, no cough, no trouble breathing, no symptoms of any kind, they had no idea that they had the virus and yet they were walking around and contagious to other people. The thing that we need to understand is that whatever we do in whatever form we have and whatever way we congregate on the campus we are accepting risk because of the insidious nature of this virus you could be asymptomatic not know you have it and pass it to someone else that for them is a life or death situation. That is how serious we have taken this as a College, he is incredibly proud of the work we have done at this point, he is incredibly proud of the teamwork we have exhibited and we know there are challenges ahead for the fall but he knows we are doing the right thing as a team and we will do everything we can to bend over backwards in support of our students moving forward.

D. Eagle Corporation Update – Informational

President Bailey stated he appreciates the Boards approval on this at the last meeting. There will be a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Eagle Corporation for them to look at the Bylaws. President Bailey did want to let Regent Martinez know the College did meet with Modrall, the law firm to make all of the changes he suggested in the last meeting. Down the road they will look at a follow up meeting to look at investments for the Corporation. President Bailey will keep the Board of Regents posted on anything the Corporation does.
VI. FACULTY SENATE PRESIDENT REPORT

President Bailey stated Dr. Winterer could not be with us today. She sent Dr. Lopez and President Bailey a report. There is nothing significant to report, they are meeting in committees. The faculty is finishing the end of semester this week and grades are due June $1^{st}$. This is a busy time for them. Dr. Winterer wanted to point out this would be her last month and service as the Faculty Senate President. They are going to be holding elections and there should be a new Faculty Senate President when the committee rejoins in the fall. A special thank you to Dr. Winterer for her leadership and things she has done in the Faculty Senate. The decision we made about the fall curriculum, that was made with the Senate, we had a very thoughtful dialogue and looked at the pros and cons of that decision and President Bailey is proud we are making this together with the faculty because they bear the burden for a lot of this. Vice President Velarde thanked President Bailey and thank you for her service as well because we know it is always additional to what she gets paid for. It is voluntary and thank you for that.

VII. STUDENT SENATE PRESIDENT REPORT

President Bailey asked Ms. Pena if Meghan Trujillo was going to be able to join the meeting. Ms. Pena stated she did state she was going to try to join the meeting. President Bailey asked if Ms. Trujillo was on the line. Vice President Velarde stated she might have given up. President Bailey stated Meghan is coming to the end of her tenure as Student Senate President. She has been an absolute superstar. We are very grateful for her service to her fellow students. She is someone that is not afraid to speak truth to power and to tell President Bailey things he is doing wrong. Dr. Winterer does that to. That is how we all get better. Both Heather and Megan have been real leaders and have helped this institution move forward and we are grateful for their service. Regent Velarde stated we are grateful for their service and Student Senate, she was part of this and it was fun.

VIII. STAFF REPORTS

A. Vice President for Finance & Administration

1. Fiscal Watch Reports – Action Required

Mr. Bejarano turned this report over to the Vince Lithgow, Comptroller. Mr. Lithgow stated he is going to review the Fiscal Watch Report for April, 2020. Regarding the Statement of Net Position otherwise known as the Balance Sheet, at the beginning of the month we had about $5.6M of cash on hand, that includes all bank accounts. We are online, toward the end of the page we will have the fund balance projected at the end of the month. We have some nominal accounts receivables from students as would be expected at this point in time for the year, toward the end of the semester. The other $248,000 is for the Pell Grants receivable. Inventories and prepaid expenses are static as they are only posted once a year as is capital assets of $35M. Deferred outflows are done one time a year at $9.9M and is done once a year with the audit. Liabilities have gone up and down a little bit. Payables has gone down again and is due to capital projects.
expenses that we are getting billed for now. Other liabilities are for the post-employment benefits and net pension liabilities, these are done once a year driven by liabilities for retirement and retiree health care. Investment Capital Assets is $35M, restrictions for general activities of $85,000, federal student loans restricted at $286,000. Term endowments is the special appropriations for Anna, Age Eight. That number may or may not change depending on the expenses that occur for the remainder of the fiscal year. Again, capital projects are at $1.4M. The related entity activities is $1.6M is related to the Mill Levy Assessments for the Branch Community College since late November, early December, 2019. Projected unrestricted net position is about $4.3M and we suspect it will drop down by $1M by June 30th. Mr. Lithgow asked if there were any questions. On page 20 is the Income Statement, revenues are about 75% collected and most of that is for tuition and fees, the State appropriations are online as well as the grants receivable. Going to expenditures, the total expended on average year to date is about 62%. It is a little bit under budget, there are a couple of factors in that, one we have budgeted during the fiscal some grants. Grants are on a reimbursement basis and with the school being basically on a lockdown we are still billing the grants but not at a higher rate as we could. A lot of those grants support some personal activities and those employees, mainly student employees if they can't work they can't bill for reimbursements. On the plant side with the expenditures we have about $1.365M on the capital side with the building renewal and replacement of almost $200,000 for a total of $1.5M. We are expecting to expend these monies in full by the end of the fiscal year. On page 21, this is the comparison from last year to this year. We have collected a little bit more revenues than last year at this time and expenses are a little bit less than last year at this time. Some of that being attributable to personal services, we can have as high as 350 people on the payroll, that is temporary, students, faculty and adjuncts. That will drop off significantly. We have been just paying over about 300 paychecks due to the Coronavirus and limited access to campus. On page 22, the Statement of Cash Flows, we get $3.3M from tuition and fees, grants are $5M. Most of our expenditures as would be anticipated go out to salaries and benefits and for some good and services. We pay just over $1M in scholarships. State appropriations are online, investment earnings are online. At the end of that cash and cash equivalents is $5.6M which relates to the first line on the Statement of Net Position. Mr. Lithgow asked if there were questions on the Fiscal Watch. He requested approval of the Fiscal Watch. Vice President Velarde entertained a motion to approve the Fiscal Watch Reports.

Regent Swentzell moved to approve the Fiscal Watch Reports for April 2020. Regent Martinez – Second. Roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

2. Budget Adjustment Reports (BARs) – Action Required

Mr. Bejarano stated he is happy to report there are no BARS that required Board approval for this month. Regent Martinez stated he has been on the Board since 2015 and this is the first time there has not been a BAR to approve in a meeting. Regent Martinez stated great job to Ricky and his team.
3. Nepotism Policy – Action Required

Ricky Bejarano stated this was reviewed in the Finance Committee meeting and it has been reviewed by legal counsel. Action required would be approval. President Bailey stated for the audience, there are a couple of things going on. There are three policies for consideration. Regarding the Nepotism Policy and the Equal Opportunity Policy it is the College's intention and goal to get those policies in any form, to get them established in time for the HLC Assurance Report which is due next month. There is an urgency to try and have this resolved. There were some comments, during the after the legal review, there were comments that came during the fifteen-day open session and some of them recommended not to pass, for the Board of Regents to consider not to pass and had some substantive issues for them. They were sent to legal counsel for specific review and we are waiting on those. The Board of Regents decision is to discuss this with legal counsel now and look at whether or not the Board of Regents wants to consider adopting these today with the commitment to discuss the substantive issues that came with the critiques or to table them today but knowing there is a goal to get together as soon as they can to pass them and we do put some stress on the Assurance Review.

Geno Zamora stated they have had a chance to review comments that came in at the end of the week. He does believe in many ways the most recent round of edits that were made last week, just immediately prior to comments address some of the comments. One of the things he wants the Board of Regents to keep in mind is those timeframes and that there is an old saying that sometimes you don’t let perfect be the enemy of good. These are policies that are very important, they mean different things to different people. You are never going to have 100% consensus on each sentence and each paragraph. However, he thinks it is important and it is part of the process, to provide that out into the broader school community, education community, college community and get the feedback and see if we are on the right track with things that need to be addressed. Starting with the Nepotism policy, we can talk through some of the issues that were raised and be able to assure the Board of Regents they were addressed in the version of the policy before them. Also, with the nondiscrimination policy that comes up next, same thing, they have addressed many of these issues. It is at this point in time and he will handle the Nepotism Policy. It is at this point in time he would like to introduce an attorney that is of counsel with Ortiz & Zamora, Jessica Terrazas. She is a former clerk for Supreme Court Justice Edward Chavez and was with the Rodey Firm which is one of the largest firms in the state before he says proudly that they stole her away from them. One of the thing she specializes with their law firm is EEOC and Non-Discrimination. When they get EEOC claims against their education entities she is the one that handles them for them. She does research, works with staff, does responses either to the Human Rights Commission of the State or the EEOC. She has some great expertise. She did the research and edits for these policies. That said, she will chime in where necessary.
Mr. Zamora stated on the Nepotism Policy there were two important things addressed that were raised in the comments. Regent Martinez asked if Mr. Zamora is going to go through the comments the Board of Regents received yesterday that were sent from the group. Mr. Zamora stated he reviewed the comments that were submitted from the group entitled NNMC Women’s Council. Regent Martinez asked if those comments have been incorporated into anything in their packet. Mr. Zamora has reviewed the comments and there is overlap, meaning, the revisions they did after the first round of comments, the policies that are before the Board of Regents have gone through several iterations. The Administration has had an input process in just generating draft policies, then they have been circulated for comment and they have been given to the lawyers. What has evolved from this is a very Northern New Mexico College policy, it is organic to the institution and with lawyers it has incorporated the legal aspects. Regent Martinez stated maybe he is not asking the right question. He asked if the policies they looked at yesterday in the meeting, which were what he understood were the policies that the attorneys reviewed and put together, are those the ones that are before the Board of Regents today. Mr. Zamora stated correct. Slight semantics that the College put together and they reviewed, correct. Regent Velarde asked if the version that the council gave their comments on was not the version reviewed yesterday. Regent Martinez stated he believes the version they received yesterday which is the same version in the packet today was the version that was open for the comment but then those comments did not come in, using his terms, until the 11th hour, and they were notified of those comments from this particular group yesterday, correct. Regent Velarde stated Dr. Lopez was shaking his head as no. Dr. Lopez stated to clarify how it worked, the policy the Board of Regents has in the packet has not been seen by the Women’s Council. The prior version. The one they saw was the one in public input. That is the one they saw. There were some comments we got, we did not receive the Women’s Council until later because of a glitch in the system. They submitted on time but because of a glitch we did not receive these comments until last Friday. However, the comments received, those comments went to Mr. Zamora and those were taken care of. What Mr. Zamora is saying right now is the most current version, the one in the Board of Regents package, in some way overlaps with the comments from the Women’s Council but they have not seen because this is not the process. In the process, the final version does not go back for public input because it will be a never-ending process. Regent Velarde asked Mr. Zamora to confirm he took care of some of the substantive issues such as what she was concerned about, some of the numbering was off, the legality kind of stuff. She asked if he was able to clear up some of these kinds of substantive issues. Mr. Zamora stated the short answer is yes, there were edits, what becomes confusing for him is some of the comments addressed previous versions and his mind he thought some addressed the most recent versions but it appears he is incorrect on that but that is okay. They did a re-edit last week some paragraphs were removed, some were renumbered but it was reformatted. With regard to the nondiscrimination policy the formatting is correct. With regard to the nepotism policy there was a loss in translation there are a couple of paragraphs that we need to pull in a footnote that currently appear up in the text and that was something highlighted by the Women’s Council, was they felt some of the things were jumbled and they had noticed the same and they do need to go back and reformat and pull some more casual research context in the footnotes rather than the body. That is something they would recommend for what is before the Board of Regents. Regent Martinez asked if what he is saying is there were versions that were exchanged, they did their final review before they got the Women’s Council, but in the ultimate review, some of the concerns that were brought up had been addressed by the time they got to their ultimate final and there is stuff he has to move in a
footnote, the format is going to change. Mr. Zamora stated yes, with regard to the Nepotism Policy, the formatting is going to change. However, he wants to add one more category, there were some issues that were raised most recently by the Women's Council that they do not recommend as revisions to the Nepotism Policy in particular and in some instances to the Nondiscrimination Policy. Mr. Zamora stated addressing them sequentially which ones are Nepotism and Jessica will point out how they have addressed issues in the Nondiscrimination. Regent Martinez asked if they could for the sake of time because he read this stuff and he read the comments that were sent and he understands formatting and he is not necessarily interested in going line by line because everybody on the Board does their homework before they come to these. He asked if the Board of Regents can pass these for the purpose of the Assurance to meet accreditation and then go back with an amendment to recompile it. Also, if there are any issues that the Women’s, and he apologized if he butchered it and he thanked them for their input, the people who had issues or had some concerns or some suggestions, and then the Board can meet, a Board Committee can meet with the group to hash out and further amend these if they need to amend them, if the Board agrees to amend them. He does not want to be in a position where accreditation gets messed up because going back and forth, Mr. Zamora knows how it goes on rewrites, how this stuff, he has sent stuff to be rewritten, to associates millions of times and it takes forever and he knows how it goes. So, he knows how that goes but then the Board could pass this, get accreditation, have administration set up a time to meet together because it really puts the Board in a bad position when they get a package to be approved, he thinks they got them last Thursday or Friday, but when it has already been through all these different edits and people have had their input, but then it comes to the Board who is the governing body who needs to approve it and they may have some changes. He did not have any changes in here because he has the utmost trust in Mr. Zamora but it puts the Board and the school in generally a bad position when they are trying to help make this last audible this thing when we have an assurance report that is due. If we could get these passed and Administration set on our calendars and pull the committees from the Board in because he has in the past four or five months on the different things we have reviewed he knows at least two of them we have approved with the contingency of having to go back and make changes. He appreciates all the work everybody is doing and understands that everybody is just as busy or busier than him. The Board needs to be involved earlier because if this issue would have come up maybe we could have the version that everybody can agree to. His concern is not having the assurance report and that puts the school in a bad way. This is just his position, he is just one vote but he wanted to get his thoughts out there.

Regent Swentzell stated for clarification because they are needed for assurance purposes, what is the deadline, we do have another Board meeting until on the 22nd of next month. If it needs to be in play after that, he doesn’t see why they can’t approve it then. President Bailey stated the report is due next month near the end of the month, we do have a Board meeting scheduled June 22nd. If we table it, if the Board makes a decision to table it until next month, it increases the risk because if there is any issue with those policies before that meeting and isn’t resolved at that meeting, then we are in a high-risk situation. The other thing, to Regent Martinez point, in speaking to, he thinks there is an appetite for the individuals who came up with some of these recommendations. There is an appetite to have a Board committee with Mr. Zamora present to talk about things and you can hear them directly from those people and as a community you can hash them out that way we are doing them openly. If this is the case, for purpose of the assurance
argument, he likes Regent Martinez suggestion to adopt the policies as they are, allow us to move forward and release the assurance report with a commitment today to have a gathering with an honest dialogue which could lead to a revision of the policies.

Vice President Velarde stated she wanted to stay first and foremost our responsibility is to the students and if anything happens to our accreditation, she does not want to put this in any sort of, we have students who are close to graduating and we put ourselves into that position. We don’t know what the future holds, look at COVID, nobody thought we would be spending three months at home, we don’t what is going to happen in June. We hope for the best but you never know. She would love for us, if we have changed and taken care of these issues that they did talk about that were like legality issues or straight how you formatted issues, then that is great for her. We need to definitely pass this with the contingency that we go back to it when we revise it, we fix it but also, we have this discussion with the Women’s Council, beyond the substantive issues, the format issues, it concerned her, some of the items discussed on their comments. We do not need to go into the details but there were concerns for Regent Velarde and as a family we need to discuss and hash out those issues and air our dirty laundry together and not so much for everybody else but let’s fix this so there is not a rift in the family and we stay strong during these times and we are a united front for our students and she thinks this is first and foremost. She hopes the Women’s Council understands the position the Board is in as far as our students but also understand that we hear you loud and clear and we want to have that discussion with you.

Mr. Zamora stated to answer everyone’s question, both policies have had legal review and are legally sufficient to be passed today. The only thing he raised was a stylistic issue that does not validate or invalidate the legality. Both policies have had legal review, are sufficient. He does like that the Board is advocating for these to be living breathing policies where there will be further discussion because Mr. Zamora and Ms. Terrazas can have an opportunity to share their legal experiences with these topics and give context to some of the language. He does understand upon initial read of some of the language it can evoke some strong responses. He thinks in this dialogue the Board is committing to going forward it will be an opportunity for us to demonstrate some of the pitfalls if the language does not exist.

Vice President Velarde entertained a motion approve the Nepotism Policy and EEOC Policy and come back to it to revise the format with the footnote and after discussion with the Women’s Council. Regent Martinez moved to approve the Equal Opportunity and Nondiscrimination Policy 1320 and the Nepotism Policy 1340 as drafted with the understanding that we will go back to tweak it where it needs to be tweaked and meet with the Women’s Council and for that matter any other group who wants to discuss issues related to this policy or any future policy that way we are all on the same page. Second - Regent Swentzell. A roll call vote was Vice President Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

President Bailey stated Ms. Pena and President Bailey will work with all individuals who contributed comments to these two policies and Ms. Pena will coordinate with the Board for a suitable time for the working group.
4. Equal Employment Policy

This item was covered in with Item 2 above.

5. Audit Contract – Action Required

Ricky stated as discussed in the Audit/Finance/Facilities Committee meeting we made a selection for the auditor for the Board of Regents to approve. Until the audit contract is approved by the State Auditor, basically the only thing he can disclose is the winning bid is from Carr, Riggs and Ingram for the reasons we discussed at length yesterday privately. Once it is approved by the State Auditor and Higher Ed Department, we can then release all information and scores. Regent Velarde entertained a motion to approve to proceed with the audit contract negotiations.

Regent Martinez moved to proceed with the audit contract negotiations. Second – Regent Swentzell. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

6. Addendum to the Tuition for RN to BSN Nursing Courses – Action Required

Mr. Bejarano stated the tuition changes from RN to BSN courses had previously been approved but one class was omitted, it is in bold red in the Board packet. Mr. Bejarano asked the Provost to add comments. Dr. Lopez stated what happened is this course in red was just recently added to the curriculum. It was passed by the faculty senate two weeks ago. This is why it has to be included in the prior memorandum that was approved at the last meeting. VP Velarde entertained a motion to approve.

Regent Swentzell moved to approve the addendum to the Tuition for RN to BSN. Regent Martinez – Second. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

A. Provost & Vice President for Academic Affairs

1. Instructional Live Streaming Lecture Capture Policy – Action Required

Dr. Lopez stated he has one item for action. This is another policy, the Instructional Live Streaming and Lecture Capture Policy. This is a policy that was developed by Northern and reviewed by Ortiz & Zamora. The purpose of this policy is to have another policy in place to regulate our online courses. This particularly addresses issues related to accessibility, privacy and copyright when faculty are teaching like in technologies similar to this one, Zoom. We are preparing our request for the HLC sometime in the fall so we can offer fully online programs. Right now, we can offer online courses but are not authorized yet to offer a fully online program. In order for this to take place we have to have policies in place and this is one we were missing and we are requesting approval. Vice President Velarde entertained motion to approve.
Regent Martinez moved to adopt the Instructional Live Streaming and Lecture Capture Policy. Second - Regent Swentzell. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

IX. PUBLIC INPUT

Vice President Velarde asked Ms. Pena signed up for Public Input. Ms. Pena stated no one has contacted her. Vice President Velarde stated anyone could just raise their hand. President Bailey stated if anyone would like to unmute themselves and have something to share they should do so.

X. EXECUTIVE SESSION

Regent Martinez moved to go into Executive Session to discuss those issues stated on the Agenda which include the Henrietta Trujillo case. Second - Regent Velarde. A roll call vote was taken. Board President Martin - yes, Regent Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously.

The Board of Regents entered into Executive Session at 1:34PM.

President Bailey stated for the members of the Board, Ms. Pena will send a link for Zoom for the Executive Session and everyone else can stay on this meeting. The Board of Regents is to check their email for the link.

President Bailey thanked everyone for their patience and stated everyone is coming back. President Bailey also stated once the Board of Regents returns from Executive Session, President Martin will turn the meeting over to Regent Velarde. Regent Velarde stated it sounds good.

Board President Martin entertained a motion to return from Executive session.

Regent Velarde moved to return from Executive Session at 2:44PM. Regent Swentzell – second. A roll call vote was taken. Board President Martin - yes, Regent Velarde - yes, Regent Swentzell - yes, Regent Martinez - yes, Regent Rodriguez - yes. Motion passed unanimously. At this time, Board President Martin left the meeting at this time and Vice President Velarde lead the meeting. Regent Velarde and Regent Swentzell thanked President Martin.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

Vice President Velarde thanked everyone for all their time today and joining the Board and being here with them and thanked her fellow Regents and Administration and President Bailey. President Bailey stated we need a motion to adjourn.
Regent Swentzell moved to adjourn. Second – Regent Martinez. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde – yes, Regent Swentzell – yes, Regent Martinez – yes, Regent Rodriguez – yes. Motion passed unanimously.

The Board of Regents adjourned at 3:39PM.

APPROVED:

Michael A. Martin, Board President

Erica Velarde, Vice President
Regent Swentzell moved to adjourn. Second – Regent Martinez. A roll call vote was taken. Vice President Velarde – yes, Regent Swentzell – yes, Regent Martinez – yes, Regent Rodriguez – yes. Motion passed unanimously.

The Board of Regents adjourned at 3:39PM.

APPROVED:

Michael A. Martin, Board President

[Signature]

Erica Velarde, Vice President